

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,046	10/21/2004	Shojiro Tanase	HEIW:040	HEIW:040 8407	
27890	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER		
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036		CHOI, LING SIU			
		<i>'</i> .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1713		

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/512,04	6	TANASE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ling-Siu C		1713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears on the	cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address	•
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the state statutory period will apply and will ly will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from th lication to become ABANDONED	will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicate (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s)-fi	led on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	· ·	· •		is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				•
9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 21 October	<u>2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	•			, ,
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office activities.	y documents have been y documents have been s of the priority docume tional Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	n No I in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)				-
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449 of No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2004.		4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/512,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Application is a 371 of PCT/JP03/05615 filed May 2, 2003. Claims 1-12 are now pending, wherein claims 1-10 are drawn to a solid catalyst component; claim 11 is drawn to a catalyst; and claim 12 is drawn to a method to produce an olefin polymer.

2. JP 58-811 cited in Form PTO 1449 has not be found. Thus, it will not be considered in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanase et al. (EP 1 108 730 A1) in view of Morini et al. (WO 98/56830).

Art Unit: 1713

1	olid catalyst component for olefin polymerization obtained by reacting the following npounds a-b-d or a-b-c-d				
а	a halogen-containing titanium compound				
b	an alkoxylated magnesium compound obtained by reacting metal magnesium, an alcohol, and a halogen and/or halogen-containing compound containing at least 0,0001 gm atom of a halogen atom per mole of the metal magnesium				
С	a halogen-containing silicon compound				
d	electron-donating compound(s) represented by formula I and/or formula II,				
	$R^{1} - C - C - C - R^{6} - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - $				

(summary of claim 1)

Tanase et al. disclose a catalyst for olefin polymerization, comprising (A) a solids catalyst component prepared by contacting a magnesium compound, a titanium compound of $Ti(OR)_nX_{4-n}$ with n=0-4, and an electron donor, wherein the magnesium compound is obtained by reacting a metal magnesium, an alcohol, and at least 0.0001 gm atoms of halogen per gm atom of magnesium, (B) an organometallic compound, and (C) an electron donor (abstract; [0004]).

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Tanasde et al. is the requirement of the specific poly(di)ether and/or ester of malonic acid to be used in the present invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,046

Art Unit: 1713

It is noted that Tanase et al. do recognize polyether (diether) or ester of malonic acid among a list of the internal electron donors to be used as an internal electron donor ([0027]; [0028]). Morini et al. disclose that the use of the specific ester of maolonic acid as an internal electron donor leads to an excellent balance between polymerization yield and isotactic index for the resulting polymer (page 3, lines 7-9). In light of such benefit, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific ester of malonic acid in the disclosure of Tanase and thereby obtain the present invention.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al. (US 5,177,043) in view of Morini et al. (WO 98/56830).

Koyama et al. disclose a catalyst for olefin polymerization, comprising (A) a solid component comprising (I) a magnesium compound obtained by contacting metallic magnesium, a halogenated hydrocarbon, and an alkoxy group-containing compound, (II) a titanium compound such as titanium tetrachloride, and (III) an internal electron donor, (B) an organoaluminum cocatalyst, and (C) a silane compound (abstract; col. 3, lines 9-12; col. 4, lines 6-18; Example 1; claim 1).

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Koyama et al. is the requirement of the specific poly(di)ether and/or ester of malonic acid to be used in the present invention.

It is noted that Koyama et al. do recognize diethylmalonate or diisobutyl malonate among a list of the internal electron donors to be used as an internal electron

Application/Control Number: 10/512,046

Art Unit: 1713

0 00

donor (col. 4, lines 56-57). Morini et al. disclose that the use of the specific ester of maolonic acid as an internal electron donor leads to an excellent balance between polymerization yield and isotactic index for the resulting polymer (page 3, lines 7-9). In light of such benefit, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific ester of malonic acid in the disclosure of Koyama et al. and thereby obtain the present invention.

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinozaki et al. (US 6,537,942 B2) in view of Morini et al. (WO 98/56830).

Shinozaki et al. disclose a catalyst for olefin polymerization, comprising (I) a contact product obtained by contacting (A) a solid titanium catalyst component, (B) an organometallic compound, and (C) a specific polyether compound, (II) a specific organosilicon compound, and (III) an organometallic compound, wherein the component A comprises titanium tetrahalide and alkoxymagnesium chloride (abstract; col. 9, lines 1-3; col. 10, lines 7-9).

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Shinozaki et al. is the requirement of the specific poly(di)ether and/or ester of malonic acid to be used in the present invention.

It is noted that Shinozaki et al. do recognize diisobutyl methylmalonate among a list of the internal electron donors to be used as an internal electron donor (col. 10, line 52). Morini et al. disclose that the use of the specific ester of maolonic acid as an internal electron donor leads to an excellent balance between polymerization yield and

Application/Control Number: 10/512,046

Art Unit: 1713

isotactic index for the resulting polymer (page 3, lines 7-9). In light of such benefit, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific ester of malonic acid in the disclosure of Shinozaki et al. and

Page 6

thereby obtain the present invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-

1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner=s

supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Lice Chi

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 15, 2005